## City of Arlington Development Services Engineering Division Memorandum

TO: Cliff Strong, Planning Manager<br>Michael Gantz, Fire Chief<br>John Gray, Police Chief<br>Terry Castle, Utilities Supt.<br>John Pederson, General Services Sup.

CC: Larry Mills
Sharree Lytle
Roxanne Guenzler
FROM: Cristy Brubaker, Engineering Coordinator
DATE: February 7, 2002
SUBJECT: Ownership of entrance to Crown Ridge Development and Arlington High School Site

Attached you will find information relating to the ownership of the roadway and covered bridge that is the entrance to Crown Ridge and the site of the new Arlington High School.

In 1998 the City of Arlington acquired this right of way through the condemnation process.

While it has not been annexed to the city, the right of way is owned and maintained by the City of Arlington.

I have attached copes of the ordinance of condemnation, the interlocal with Snohomish County regarding rights and responsibilities and copies of the quit claim deeds from the property owners.

I hope this information will assist all of you when the question again arises as to who has responsibility for this street.

## ORDINANCE NO. <br> $\qquad$ $1 / 55$

AN ORDINANCE of the City of Arlington, Washington providing for the acquisition of right-of-way for any and all street purposes along, across, over and under certain properties in order to construct, extend, improve, operate and maintain that portion of the 186th Street NE Corridor extending eastward at the Intersection of SR 9 and Eagle Field Drive located in unincorporated Snohomish County approximately 290 feet; and thereafter acquiring in that northerly portion of Crown Ridge Boulevard approximately 950 feet more or less also lying in unincorporated Snohomish County for said purposes; providing for acquisition pursuant to the approval requirement of the Preliminary Plat of Crown Ridge Estates; and directing the City Attorney to proceed with condemnation thereof as provided under RCW 8.12.030 to prosecute legal proceedings, if necessary, and to enter into settlements, agreements and transfers of property to accomplish the acquisition of public right-of-way; all of the said properties being located within the County of Snohomish, Washington.

## THE CITY OF ARLINGTON, WASHINGTON DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS

 FOLLOWS:1. Section 1. The public convenience, use and necessity, including Transportation Plan for extension of the 186th Street Corridor, including approval conditions of the Preliminary Plat of Crown Ridge Estates, demand that the City of Arlington ("City") condemn, appropriate, take, and damage portions of certain real property in Snohomish County immediately abutting the City of Arlington's boundaries in order to acquire necessary right-of-way, slope and other title ownership, easements, and interests in real property for its street and other related utility purposes. These parcels are legally described in Exhibits "A" and "B" attached and incorporated by this reference herein. The purposes for which this condemnation and acquisition is authorized shall include without limitation, all acts necessary to complete construction, extension, improvement, maintenance, repair and alteration, drainage, gutters, sidewalks, landscaping, illumination, electrical facilities, and related municipal and street purposes that may be necessary from time to time on the properties described in Exhibit "A", including provisions for providing
police, fire, and other emergency services and utilities, for a portion of the 186th Street NE corridor and Crown Ridge Estates Boulevard lying within the City of Arlington, Washington. Adequate provision has been made for environmental review under the State Environmental Policy Act in an existing programmatic environmental impact statement already prepared for the City's Comprehensive Plan, including earlier SEPA review and Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance issued for the Plat of Crown Ridge on or about August 13, 1996.

Section 2. The City authorizes the acquisition by condemnation of all or part of the properties described in Exhibit " $A$ " for those purposes indicated above, including the City's Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element and in the Preliminary Plat of Crown Ridge Estates.

Section 3. The City shall condemn the subject properties after just compensation has been made or other compensation for the owner or owners in a manner prescribed by law.

Section 4. The City authorizes the City Attorney to commence necessary proceedings and negotiations with the owners of the subject property for acquisition of the right-of-way described in Exhibit "A", including but not limited to gifts, settlements, stipulations, exchanges, credits for impact mitigation fees, temporary construction easements, or other property interests.

[^0]Section 6. The provisions of this ordinance are declared to be separate and severable. The invalidity of any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or portion of this ordinance, or the invalidity of the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this Ordinance, or the validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.

Section 7. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its passage, approval, and publication as provided by law.

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Arlington and APPROVED BY THE MAYOR this $6 \underline{ }$ day of $\qquad$ , 1998.

ATTEST:


Approved as to form:


City Attorney

Exhibit B
Condemnation Ordinance
Re: Crown Ridge Estates
File No. 3-0226-0907
Those portions of Sections 13 and 24 both in Township 31 North, Range 5 East W.M., Snohomish County, Washington, described as follows:

Commencing at the Southwest comer of said Section 13;
Thence North $01^{\circ} 4712^{\prime \prime}$ East, along said West line of said Section 13 , a distance of 122.96 feet to the True Point of Beginning;

Thence continuing North $01^{\circ} 47^{\prime \prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 152.10 feet to an intersection with a curve, the center of which bears North $64^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 270.00 feet;

Thence along said Southeasterly curve to the left, through a central angle of $05^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, an arc
length of 24.80 feet to a point of tangency;
Thence Sourth $30^{\circ} 49^{\prime 3} 35^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 122.89 feet;
Thence South $30^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 632.31 feet to a point of curvature;
Thence along said curve to the right, having a radius of 181.00 feet, through a central angle of $31^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 98.69 feet to a point of tangency;

Thence South $00^{\circ} 5223^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 124.98 feet to a point of curvature;
Thence along said curve to the left, having a radius of 25.00 feet, through a central angle of $90^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 39.27 feet to a point of tangency on a line parallel with and 30.00 feet North of the South line of the East Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of the
Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter of said Section 24;

Thence Sourh $89^{\circ} 07^{\prime 3} 7^{\prime \prime}$ East along said parallel line a distance of 193.49 feet to the intersection
with the East line of said East Half

Exhibit B
Condemnation Ordinance
Re: Crown Ridge Estates
Page 2

Thence South $02^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ West, along said East line, a distance of 30.01 feet to the South line of said East Half;

Thence North $89^{\circ} 077^{\prime \prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ West, along the said South line a distance of 334.50 feet to the intersection with the East line of the West Half of the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of the

Thence South $02^{\circ} 1758^{n}$ West, along the last said East line, a distance of 30.00 foot;
Thence South $73^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$. West, a distance of 194.78 feet, to the intersection with the Northeast Margin of the State Route 9 Right-of-Way, as shown on Washington State Department of Highways Sheets 19 and 20 of 21, Lake Stevens to Arlington, bearing the last revised date of 3-
29-96;

Thence North $17^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West, along said Northeast Margin a distance of I19.91;
Thence Southerly and Easterly along a curve, the center of which bears North $72^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 25.00 feet through a central angle of $93^{\circ} 22^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 40.74 feet to a point of tangency;

Thence North $69^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 159.46 feet to a point of curvature;
Thence along said curve to the left, having a radius of 119.00 feet, through a central angle of $99^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, an arch length of 207.17 feet to a point of tangency;

Thence North $30^{\circ} 22^{\prime \prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 639.80 feet to the Point of Beginning.

## $\because$ Description by W\&H Pacific, Inc.



Robert E. Wallis, P.L.S. No. 18102




After:Recording Return To
Snofiomimısh County Public Works
Program Planning - 7th Floor
Aitn Jobhannes Kurz
2930 Wetrï̀re, Avenue
Everett, Washangton 982012-4044



## BETWEEN SNOHOMISH COUNTY AND THE CITY OF ARLINGTON CONCERNING

 THE CROWN RIDGE ESTATES ACCESS ROADTHIS AGREEMENT 1 made and entered into by and between SNOHOMISH COUNTY heremafter referred to as the "County" and the CITY OF ARLINGTON, herennafter referred to as the "City" pursuant to chäpter $39.34, \mathrm{RCW}$, the Interlocal Cooperation Act

WHEREAS, Crown Ridge Estates $\operatorname{sis}$ a residential subdivision proposed for development within the City; and

WHEREAS, because of topographical constraints, public road access to Crown Ridge Estates is proposed through the County, and"

WHEREAS, on the 6th of April, 1998, the City passed Ordnance 1155 concerning the condemnation of right-of-way for a public access road from State Route SR 9 in the County to the City limits, heremnafter referred to as "Access Road," which is shown in Exhibit A and described in Exhibit B, and

WHEREAS, the owner of the properties through which the right-of-way for the Access Road passes has signed quit claim deeds transferring all interest.in the property for Access Road right-of-way to the City, and these deeds have been recorded with the "County Auditor's Office under Nos 9811060697 and 9811060698 on November 6, 1998; a a d

WHEREAS, the developer of Crown Ridge Estates will construct for the City the Access Road including a bridge across Prairie Creek, and

WHEREAS, all design and construction work will be performed. ciccording to standards approved by the city and the county and, with respect to the connection SR 9. by the State (WSDOT),


NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises in this interlocal agreement, IT IS MUTUALLY AGREED as follows*


..This agreement shall establish the mutual responsibilities of the County and the City with respect to the establishment, design, construction, maintenance, and operation of the Access Road unincorporated Snohomish County.
2. Joint Responsibilities

21 The Access Road shall be designed according to standards agreed to by the County and the City
2.2 When construction work on the Access Road is completed, the County and the City will jointly perform the final inspection

## 3. County Responsibilities

3.1 The County will ps sue permits which are required to commence construction of the Access Road


32 The County will perform constriction and site inspection duties for the establishment of the Access Road In yew of its future road maintenance functions for the Access road, the City will be invited to participate in the inspection activities

## 4. City Responsibilities



41 The City will formally accept the Access Road following the final inspection upon which the Access Road will become a public road owned by the City.

42 The City will maintain, operate and repair the Access Road, and provide police, fire protection, and emergency medical services to the area covered by the Access Road right-of-way The City will send appropriate notifications of this fact to all affected police agencies, emergency services providers, Snopac, and potentially affected citizens


## 5. Comprehensive Plan Implications

The"Access Road is located outside of the City's Urban Growth Area Boundary in an area $\cdots$ off the County designated on the County's GMA Comprehensive Plan as "rural residential with a minımum lot size requirement of five acres. The area between the Access Road arid SR 9 has been designated as Natıve Growth Protection Area and is recorded assuch Fhis designation limits the potential for any kind of development of the area so designated

The area east of the Access Road could be developed for rural residential uses at a density compatyble with the County's Comprehensive Plan

The existence of the Access. Road and the potential for the easterly extension of NE 186th Street fromyts intersecton with Crown Ridge Boulevard shall not provide the sole argument for changing the present rural residential designation to an urban designation

## 6. Hold Harmless/Indemnification

Each party agrees to indeminify defend, and hold harmless the other party, its employees, agents, and appointed and elected officials from any and all claims, demands, damages, suits, penalties, judgments, losses or costs which maiy be made against them to the extent that such clam is caused by each party'sown rieglyence.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the preceding paragraph, the City shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the County from any and all claims, demands, damages, actions, penaltıes, judgments, losses or coṣts arrssing from maintenance, reconstruction or use of the Access Road and its right-of-way aftel-1t häs been accepted by the City pursuant to Paragraph 4.1 The City shall have a contrnuing duty of indemnification hereunder which shall remain in effect notwithstandirig the termination of this agreement

## 7. Commencement

This agreement shall be effective upon execution by both parties.

## 8. Duration and Termination

This agreement shall remain in effect until the area where the Access. Road is located is annexed into the City. Alternatively, this agreement can be terminated at any time by the written consent of both the County and the City.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have signed this agreement effective on the date indicated below


APPROVED AS TO FORM.


SNOHOMISH COUNTY


APPROVED AS TO FORM ONLY:


Dated this day of January, 1999
WITNESSED:
$\frac{\text { Adore Petevisx }}{\text { City Clerk }}$


## 199908270355



## FILED FOR RECORD AT REQUEST OF/

WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:
John Burkholder, Planning Director
238.N. Olympic Avenue

Arílingtom, WA 98223

## QUIT CLAIM DEED



THE GRANTOR; Portage Creek Development Corp., a Washington Corporation, for and in lieu of condemnation pursuant to RCW 8.12.03, and other consideration, quit claims any and all right, title and interest to The City of Arlington, Washington, a municipal corporation, Grantee, for any and all municipal purposes, Inclyding a public roadway and principal access to the Plat of Crown Ridge Estates, and acting pursuant fo Resolution 520 Ordinance No.1155, conveys and transfers the following real property" legally described in Exhibit " A " attached and incorporated herein, situated in the County of Sinohomish; State of Washington.

The said Grantee, including its officeers s, ägents, employees, representatives, and franchisees, shall have the right without prior institution of suit or proceeding at law, at times as may be necessary, to enter upon said property for the purposes of installing, operating, maintaining, extending, constructing, repairing and reconstrueting any municipal or public improvements, including without limitation: any utility related, drainage, or related roadway improvements for 186th Street N.E., Crown Ridge Boulevard, SR-9 access, equipment storage, without incurring any further legal obligation or liability therefore.


Portage Creek Developmegt Corporation
By; "Michael'T Kinney
Its; President

## STATE OF WASHINGTOUN

COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH

I certify that I know or haye satisfactory evidence that the persons appearing before me and making this acknowledgment are the persons whose true signatures appear on this document.

On this. 5 day of October, 1998 , before me personally appeared MICHAEL T. KINNEY, to me known to be the President of Portage Creek. Eevelopment Corp., the corporation that exectued the within and foregoing instrument and ackriowedged the said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses arid purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that he was authorized to execcute said instrumient and that the seal affixed, if any, is the corporate seal of said corporation.


WITNESS my hand and official seal hereto affixed the day and year firstabove written.


## 9811060697

## DESCRIPTION OF CONDEMNATION ORDINANCE WITHIN SECTION 13, T31N, R5E W.M.

## Exhibit A

Condemnation Ordinarice
Re: Crown Ridge. Estates
File No. 3-0226-0902
That portion of Seation ... 13, Township. 31 North, Range 5 East, W.M., Snohomish County, Washington, described as follows:

Commencing at the Southwest cornex of said Section 13;
Thence North $01^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ East along said West line of said Section 13, a distance of 124.84 feet to the True Point of Beginning;

Thence continuing North $01^{\circ} 47$ ' $12^{4}$ East, a distance of 150.23 feet to an intersection with a curve, the center of which bears North $63^{\circ} 46^{\prime 2} 29^{\prime \prime}$. East, a distance of 269.00 feet;

Thence Southeasterly along said curve tothe left, through a central angle of $04^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 19.45 feet to a point of tangency;

Thence South $30^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 303.45 feet to the intersection with the South line of said Section 13;

Thence North $89^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West, along said South line, a distarice of 93.56 feet;
Thence North $30^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 145.99 feet to the Point ot Beginning.

Description by W\&H Pacific, Inc.


Robert E. Wallis, P.L.S. No. 18102


INPROIECTV2260902NWOROLGL-326c doc

## 9811060697




## 9811060697



## FILED FOR RECORD AT REQUEST OF/

WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:
Johy Burkholder, Planning Director
238. N: Olympic Avenue

Árlington, W:A 98223

QUIT CLAIM DEED

NO EXCISE TAX DUE \$2.00 Treasurer's Fe日


THE GRANTOR, the Wigan Development Corporation, a Washington Corporation, for and in lieu of conderination pursuant to RCW 8.12.03, and other consideration, quit claims any and all right, title and interest to The City of Arlington, Washington, a municipal corporation, Grantee, for any and all muniçipal purposes including a public roadway and principal access to the Plat of Crown Ridge Estates; and acting pirsuant to Resolution 520 Ordinance No.1155, conveys and transfers the following real property legally described in Exhibit " A " attached and incorporated herein, situated in the County of Snohomish, State of Washington.

The said Grantee, including its officers̀, agents, emppoyees, representatives, and franchisees, shall have the right without prior institution of suit or proceding at law, at times as may be necessary, to enter upon said property for the purposes of installitg operating, maintaining, extending, constructing, repairing and reconstructing any municipal or public improvements, including without limitation: any utility related, drainage, or related 1 adway improvements for 186th Street N.E., Crown Ridge Boulevard, SR-9 access, equipment storage, without incurring any further legal obligation or liability therefore.

 1998


Wigan Development Corporation
By;', Michael 'T. Kinsey'
Its; President

COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH ):

I certify that I know or have satisfactory. evidence that the persons appearing before me and making this acknowledgment are the persons whose true signatures appear on this document.

On this 5 day of October, 998 , before me personally appeared MICHAEL T. KINNEY, to me known to be the President of Wigan Development Corp', the corporation that exectued the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged the said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that he was authorized to execute said instrument and that.thie seal affixed, if any, is the corporate seal of said corporation.

WITNESS my hand and official seal hereto affixed the day and year first above written.


Notary Publ y hand for the State of Washington


## 9811060698

DESCRIPTION OF CONDEMNATION WITHIN SECTION 24, T3IN, R5E W.M.

## Exhibit A

## Condemnation Ordinarice

Re: Crown Ridge Estates
File No. 3-0226-09.02
That portion of Section 24 , Township. 31 North, Range 5 East, W.M., Snohomish County, Washington, described as follows:

Commencing at the Northwest cornek of said Section 24;
Thence South $89^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ East, along said North line of said Section 24, a distance of 77.71 feet to the True Point of Beginning;

Thence continuing South $89^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 93.56 feet;
Thence South $30^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 467.82 feet to a point of curvature;
Thence along said curve to the right, having a radius of 181.00 feet, through a central angle of $31^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 98.69 feet to a point of tangeticy;

Thence South $00^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 96.82 feet to a poipt of curvature;
Thence along said curve to the left, having a radius of 25.00 . feet, through a central angle of $90^{\circ} 00^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 39.27 feet to a point of tangeney of a line parallel with and 50.00 feet North of the South line of the East Half of the Northwest Quartër. of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of said Section 24;

Thence South $89^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ East along said parallel line a distance of 189.02 feet to the intersection with the East line of said East Half;

## 9811060698

# Exhibit $A A$ 

Condemnation Ordinance
Re-Crown Ridge Estates
Pagè 2

Thence South $02^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ West, along said East line, a distance of 50.02 feet to the South line of said East Half,

Thence North $8990+37 \mathrm{jl}$ West, along the said South line a distance of 334.48 feet to the intersection with.the East lipe of the West Half of the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of said Section 24.

Thence South $02^{9} 17^{\prime} 58^{*}$ West, along the last said East line, a distance of 30.01 feet;
Thence South $71^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 17^{10}$ West, a distance of 194.81 feet, to the intersection with the Northeast Margin of the State Route 9 Rightor:Way, as shown on Washington State Department of Highways Sheets 19 ànd 20 g 921 , Lake Stevens to Arlington, bearing the last revised date of 3-29-96;

Thence North $17^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ Wesf, along saíd Northeäst Margin a distance of 134.44;
Thence Southerly and Easterly along a. curre, the center of which bears North $72^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 25.00 feet through a centrial angle of $91^{\circ} 27748^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 39.91 feet to a point of tangency;

Thence North $71^{\circ} 17^{1} 17^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 178.91 feet to a point of curvature;
Thence along said curve to the left, having radius of 101.00 feet, through a central angle of $101^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$, an arc length of 179.20 feet to a point offtangency;
Thence North $30^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 516.34 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Description by W\&H Pacific, Inc.


Robert E. Wallis, P.L.S. No. 18102



## 9811060698



ARLINGTON PUBLIC WORKS FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

FAX RECEIVED
JAN 061939
BAILEY, RUSKIN \& PIFFLE

PUBLIC WORKS OFFICE • 816 N WEST AVENUE
MAILING ADDRESS - 238 N OLYMPIC ARLINGTON, WA 98223
PHONE: (360) 435-3811 PAX: (360) 435-7944

surgect: Dewlepenbzal bquament
NUMBER OP PAGES TO FOLLOW:

. 15 YOU EXPERIENCE PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS FAX, OR TR YOU DON NOT RECEIVE TEBENUMBER OF PAGES INDICATED, PLEASE CALL OUR OFFCH AT (360)435-3811

- ADDIKIONAL COMMENTS: $\qquad$

1) do you rad maps also? $A=N O$
2) con naypos segno? A $A^{2}$ YES
3) do we need council approval?: $A: N 0$


Star Development \＆Management，Inc．

FAX COVER SHEET

DATE： $12 / 31 / 98$
company：City of Arlington
attn：Chris 年，Biabaken／Ken Rid
from：Paul bourady
re：USDOT Rermit／LimiTed Access Breakage number of pages，including cover sheet； $\qquad$ 4

COMMENTS：
Enclosed is a copy MSDOT＇s＂Reveliper／Local Agency Apuement＂． Wigan Develop meat has sevicured and signed the aqueenent．I will be bringing the originals with me on Tuesday $1 / 5 / 99$ for the City＇s signatione．Call me with amy comments or concerns．

The actual permit has the moe pages which are the Right of Way plans and the channelization plan，which I did not attach to thin fax．

ARLINGTON PUBLIC WORKS FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET


NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: $\qquad$

IF YOU EXPERIENCE PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS FAX, OR IF YOU DON NOT RECEIVE THENUMBER OF PAGES INDICATED, PLEASE CALL OUR OFFICE AT (360)435-3811

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: $\qquad$

1) do you need maps also?
2) Can Mayor segic?
3) do we need Council approval?
A) Inced this asap! Charts

Faxed by: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
$\qquad$


City of Arlington Public Works Transmittal Form
360-435-3811 Fax 360-435-7944

| Date: March 31, 1998 | PROJECT NAME: SR9 Construction Drawings for Crown <br> Ridge Estates |
| :--- | :--- |
| TO: <br> Marc H.- Streets <br> Terry C. - Util <br> Ken R. | Background: This information is for the intersection with SR9. <br> A response will be coordinated for transmittal to WSDOT. |
| Contact Person: Ryan Larsen | DUE:April 10, 1998 |

Materials Transmitted: Draft Construction Drawings, Draft Storm Drainage Report

| Concerns: |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Please make comments in Memo form to the Contact Person

Circulated by: CRISTY L. BRUBAKER
THIS FORM MUST BE RETURNED TO US EVEN IF YOU HAVE NO COMMENT. PLEASE INITIAL and include how much time was spent doing review. REVIEWED NO COMMENT $\qquad$

| Date: March 31, 1998 | PROJECT NAME: SR9 Construction Drawings for Crown <br> Ridge Estates |
| :--- | :--- |
| TO: <br> Marc H.- Streets <br> Terry C. - Util <br> Ken R. |  |
| Contact Person: Ryan Larsen |  |
| Materials Transmitted: Draft Construction Drawings, Draft Storm Drainage Report |  |
| Concerns: |  |

Please make comments in Memo form to the Contact Person

Circulated by: CRISTY L. BRUBAKER
THIS FORM MUST BE RETURNED TO US EVEN IF YOU HAVE NO COMMENT. PLEASE INITIAL and include how much time was spent doing review. REVIEWED NO COMMENT


THIS FORM MUST BE RETURNED TO US EVEN IF YOU HAVE NO COMMENT. PLEASE INITIAL and include how much time was spent doing review. REVIEWED NO COMMENT $\qquad$


## REQUEST FOR REVIEW FORM

## City of Arlington

Department of Planning and Community Development

FILE NO. MJ-97-0005-LP
PROJECT NAME: CROWN RIDGE LONG PLAT
DATE OF CIRCULATION: MARCH 30, 1998
RETURN THIS FORM BY: APRIL 10, 1998
RESPONDING DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS MARC, TC, KEN R.

## TYPE OF PROPOSAL: SR 9 CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS FOR CROWN RIDGE

APPLICANT: Wigan Development<br>PO Box 10<br>Lake Stevens, WA 98258

CONTACT: W \& H Pacific

3025 112th Ave. NE
Bellevue, WA 98004

REQUEST: Construction review of SR 9 and 188th St NE intersection for the Crown Ridge development.

LOCATION: Property address - 188th St NE and SR 9
Legal description -East $1 / 2$ of Sec 14, T 31 N, R 5 E, W.M.
Tax Account \#-
Nearest intersection - Eaglefield Dr., 188th St NE and SR 9
COMMENTS FROM STAFF SHALL COME IN MEMO FORM. THE MEMO SHALL BE ADDRESSED TO RYAN C. LARSEN.

IF YOU HAVE NO COMMENTS, PLEASE INDICATE BELOW BY MARKING THE BOX, SIGNING AND DATING THE FORM. RETURN THIS FORM TO RYAN C. LARSEN.

NO COMMENTS FOR THIS REVIEW
Department Signature / Title:


Date: $\qquad$ 4-6-98

# Memo 

| To: | Ryan Larsen |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Marc Hayes |
| Subject: | SR9 Improvements for Crown Ridge |
| Date: | April 6, 1998 |

Date: April 6, 1998

1. Shouldn't the offsite roadway section match the onsite section of Crown Ridge? 3" CL B ACP, 4"ATB, 4" CSBC.
2. How is runoff going to be handled at Sta. $11+14.88$ ?
3. The sidewalk on the south side of entrance doesn't connect to anything, there is only continuous sidewalk on the north side.


THIS FORM MUST BE RETURNED TO US EVEN IF YOU HAVE NO COMMENT. PLEASE INITIAL and include how much time was spent doing review. REVIEWED NO COMMENT $\qquad$

## To: Ryan Larsen

From: Tenry Castle
Date: 4-9-98
Subject: Crown Ridge Construction Plan Reviev
Having reviewed the construction plans for the Crown Ridge development I have the following comments:

SR 9 improvements:
The as-built $12^{\prime \prime}$ water main in Gleneagle is not as shown. There is a tee with a $12^{\prime \prime}$ line runing north outside the improvements which is the point of connection for the extensina across SR?. The extension will include a $12^{\prime \prime}$ valved tee, then the road crossing, then the hydrant assembly and valving on the north side of the proposed street. The hydrant lead must be $18^{\prime}$ or less in length.

The water notes need to include that it is the responsibility of the contractor to properly dispose of the chlorinated test water during the flushing process.

References to the City Engineer should say Public Works Director. References to the City standards and specifications should say the latest edition.

## REQUEST FOR REVIEW FORM

CITY OF ARLINGTON<br>Department of Planning and Community development

FILE NO. MJ-97-0005-LP PROJECT NAME: CROWN RIDGE LONG PLAT
DATE OF CIRCULATION: MARCH 30, 1998
RETURN THIS FORM BY: APRIL 10, 1998
RESPONDING DEPARTMENT:
PUBLIC WORKS MARC. TC. KEN R.
TYPE OF PROPOSAL: SR 9 CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS FOR CROWN RIDGE

| APPLICANT: Wigan Development | CONTACT: | W \& H Pacific |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| PO Box 10 | 3025 112th Ave. NE |  |
| Lake Stevens, WA 98258 |  | Bellevue, WA 98004 |

REQUEST: Construction review of SR 9 and 188th St NE intersection for the Crown Ridge development.

LOCATION: Property address - 188th St NE and SR 9
Legal description -East $1 / 2$ of Sec 14, T 31 N, R 5 E, W.M.
Tax Account \# -
Nearest intersection - Eaglefield Dr., 188th St NE and SR 9
COMMENTS FROM STAFF SHALL COME IN MEMO FORM. THE MEMO SHALL BE ADDRESSED TO RYAN C. LARSEN.

IF YOU HAVE NO COMMENTS, PLEASE INDICATE BELOW BY MARKING THE BOX, SIGNING AND DATING THE FORM. RETURN THIS FORM TO RYAN C. LARSEN.

## $\square$ NO COMMENTS FOR THIS REVIEW

Department Signature / Title: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

## Snohomish County <br> Department of Development Services <br> 3000 Rockefeller Avenue <br> Everett, Washington 98201-4046 <br> Re: Crown Ridge Estates $-186^{\text {th }}$ Street Northeast Grading Permit for Wetland Crossing 3-0226-0908

## Gentlemen:

The attached calculations are to support the design of the biofiltration swale indicated on the Grading Plans for the above referenced project. These calculations were developed as part of the Drainage Report for the Crown Ridge Estates project as submitted to the City of Arlington, and are included with that report.

The area indicated as "Bypass" for Basin " N " is that area of the roadway that discharges directly into Portage Creek. Detention for this discharge is accounted for in the design of the detention basins for the development, but treatment for water quality will be handled with the biofiltration swale indicated on the Grading Plan.

Please feel free to call if there are any questions or concerns regarding this matter.

## Sincerely,

## W\&H PACIFIC, INC.

Kenneth L. Rabbers, P.E.
Civil Engineering Services Manager

## KLR:Cya

I:SPROJECTVO226090ITWORDISNOHOMIS.doc

EASIN＂N＂
$\therefore$ TOTFL＇COLLECTES ROAC TO DET．BASIN＂$A$＂

$$
1950 \angle F-583 \angle F=1367 \angle F
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \quad= & 1367 \times 50^{\prime}=68350 \square^{\prime}=1.569 \dot{A}_{C} C_{N}=9 \mathrm{C} \\
& 1367 \times 10^{\prime}=13,670 D^{\prime}=0.314 A_{c} C_{N}=\theta_{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

TOTAL NOT COLLELTED $=59.78+1,283=61.063 \mathrm{AC}$
TOTAL OIST，172，877－117，0001＇GOLECTEC／RIFFES：

$$
=55,877 \square^{\prime}=1,283 A_{C} C_{N}=86
$$

$$
\text { TOTAL UIDIST. }=63.75 A_{C}-3.97 A_{C}=59.78 A_{C} C_{N}=81
$$

COMEINED $C_{N}$

$$
\left(1.283 \mathrm{Ac}_{c} / 61.063\right) 86+(59,78 / 61.063) 81=81.1
$$

$T_{C}=$ SAME AS PRE－DEVELOAEO

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { T3TAC AREA }=2,776,918 \square^{\prime}=63.75 \mathrm{AC} \\
& \text { fRE CEUELOPEA }=63.75 \mathrm{AC} \quad C_{N}=81 \\
& \text { post } \operatorname{DEVE} \text { IoPED } \\
& \text { TOTFC LISTUEEEL }=173877 ⿷^{\prime}=3.97 \mathrm{AC} \\
& \text { TOTAC EDAA }=29+50-1000=1950 \times 50^{\circ} \\
& 1 \mathrm{mF}=97,500 \mathrm{E}^{\prime}=2.24 \mathrm{AC}_{\mathrm{C}} \\
& \text { TOTAC UNDISTUEBEU }=59.78 \mathrm{AC} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \text { BUAFSS }= & 583 \angle F \times 50^{\prime}=29,150 \mathrm{D}^{\prime}=0.669 \mathrm{Ac}_{\mathrm{C}} C_{N}=98 \\
583 \mathrm{LF} \times 10^{\prime}= & 5,830 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}=0.134 \mathrm{AC}_{\mathrm{N}}=86
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

W\&H Pacific, Inc.
Detention Pond Analysis
South Pond
BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN ID: BYPASI00
NAME: BYPASS 100 YEAR
SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA. . . . . . :

| 0.80 Acres | BASEFLOWS: | 0.00 cfs <br> TYPE1A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.75 inches | AREA..: | 0.80 Acres | 0.00 Acres |
| 10.00 min | CN...: | 96.00 | 0.00 |
|  | TC....: | 6.31 min | 0.00 min |

ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
TcReach - Sheet L: $20.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.1500 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{yr}: 1.65 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Shallow L: 583.00 ks:27.00 s:0.0200
PEAK RATE: 0.60 cfs VOL: $0.22 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 480 min
BASIN ID: BYPASS10
NAME: BYPASS 10 YEAR
SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA....... :
0.80 Acres BASEFLOWS: 0.00 cfs

RAINFALL TYPE....:
TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION....:
TIME INTERVAL....:
2.75 inches
10.00 min

ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
TcReach - Sheet L: 20.00 ns:0.1500 p2yr: $1.65 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$ TcReach - Shallow L: 583.00 ks:27.00 s:0.0200 PEAK RATE: 0.43 cfs VOL: $0.15 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 480 min

BASIN ID: BYPASS2
SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA.......:
RAINFALL TYPE....:
PRECIPITATION....:
TIME INTERVAL....:
NAME: BYPASS 2 YEAR

| 0.80 Acres | BASEFLOWS: | 0.00 cfs |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TYPE1A |  | PERV | IMP |
| 1.75 inches | AREA..: | 0.80 Acres | 0.00 Acres |
| 10.00 min | CN....: | 96.00 | 0.00 |
|  | TC....: | 6.31 min | 0.00 min |

ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
TcReach - Sheet L: $20.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.1500 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{yr}: 1.65 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Shallow L: 583.00 ks:27.00 s:0.0200
PEAK RATE: 0.25 cfs VOL: 0.09 Ac-ft TIME: 480 min

| 100 YEAR |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Description |  |
|  |  |
| Worksheet |  |
| Flow Element | Trapezoidal Channel |
| Method | Manning's Formula |
| Solve For | Channel Depth |
|  |  |
| Input Data |  |
| Mannings Coefficient | 0.030 |
| Channel Slope | 0.010000 ftft |
| Left Side Slope | $3.000000 \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V}$ |
| Right Side Slope | $3.000000 \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V}$ |
| Bottom Width | 1.00 ft |
| Discharge | $0.60 \quad \mathrm{cfs}$ |


| Results |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Depth | 0.24 | ft |
| Flow Area | 0.41 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.50 | ft |
| Top Width | 2.42 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.18 | ft |
| Critical Slope | $0.026467 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| Velocity | 1.48 | fts |
| Velocity Head | 0.03 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.27 | ft |
| Froude Number | 0.64 |  |
| Flow is subcritical. |  |  |

2 year

| Project Description |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Worksheet |  |
| Flow Element | Trapezoidal Channel |
| Method | Manning's Formula |
| Solve For | Bottom Width |


| Input Data |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mannings Coefficient | -0.030 |
| Channel Slope | 0.010000 fftt |
| Depth | $0.20 \quad \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Left Side Slope | $3.000000 \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V}$ |
| Right Side Slope | $3.000000 \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V}$ |
| Discharge | $0.25 \quad \mathrm{cfs}$ |

## GRADING PERMIT APPLICATION

## NO GRADING WORK OR ACTIVITY MAY BE STARTED UNTIL A GRADING PERMIT HAS BEEN GRANTED BY SNOHOMISH COUNTY

Property Tax Account Number: 243105-2-013-0001 / 243105-2-010-0004
Site Location: Highway 9 and 186th St NE extended, east side
Zoning: R-5 (TA) Sec. 24 Tn. 31 Rg. 5 Comp. Plan: $\qquad$
APPLICANT: (OIVNER) Wigan Development Corp. / Paul_Konrady
Address: P.O. Box 10
City, State, Zip_Lake Stevens, WA 98258 Phone (425) 334-1066
CONTACT PERSON_ Steve Calhooñ, W\&⿴ Pacific, Inc.
Address. 3025 112th Avenue NE, Suite 100
City, Zip_Bellevue, WA 98009 Phone: (425) 827-0220: -
Description of pending and furure use Private roadway

Reference file number(s) $\qquad$
Total Cubic Yardage anticipated to be moved: Cut
Fill $_{-} \begin{array}{r}2,000 \\ 2,500\end{array}$

## GRADING PERMIT FEES

BASE FEE
SITE REVIEW FEE
YARDAGE FEE: $\$ 0.33$ per Cubic Yard
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FEE (over 500 c . y. and/or
environmentally sensitive areas $\$ 550.00$ )
SPECIAL INVESTIGATION FEE (if material was moved before permit issued, add calculated yardage fee plus $\$ 200.00$ )
RENEWAL FEE ( $1 / 2$ of original base fee and yardage fee)
200.00
$\$ 100,00$
$\$$. $\qquad$
\$ 550.00

$\qquad$

To calculate your grading yardage fee multiply the larger amount of either excavation or fill (in cubic yards) by \$. 33.

Note: When determining the cubic yardage, remember that the excavation for the building foundation is exempt from the grading permit. However, if that excavation is then placed anywhere on the site, it must be counted as fill.

FOR AN APPOLNTMENT TO SUBMIT A RESIDENTIAL APPLICATION
PLEASE CALL (206) 388-3311 EXTENSION 2126.
FOR AN APPOINTMENT TO SUBMIT A COMMERCIAL APPLICATION
PLEASE CALL (206) 3883311 EXTENSION 2570

## ARLINGTON PUBLIC WORKS <br> FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

PUBLIC WORKS OFFICE • 816 N WEST AVENUE MAILING ADDRESS 238 N OLYMPIC ARLINGTON, WA 98223

PHONE: (360) 435-3811 • (360) 435-3811
DATE: 5-13-98
TO: $\qquad$
FIRM: W. At. Pacific

FAX NUMBER: ( ) 425-822-5341
FROM: (Pesty
SUBJECT:
Ser Comments
NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: $\qquad$

IF YOU EXPERIENCE PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS FAX, OR IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE THE NUMBER OF PAGES INDICATED, PLEASE CALL OUR OFFICE AT (360) 435-3811.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Faxed by: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ Time: $\qquad$

To: Ryan Larsen
From: Terry Castle
Date: 4-9-98
Subject: Crown Ridge Construction Plan Review
Having reviewed the construction plans for the Crown Ridge development I have the following comments:

SR 9 improvements:
The as-built $12^{\prime \prime}$ water main in Gleneagle is not as shown. There is a tee with a $12^{\prime \prime}$ line ruminy north outside the improvements which is the point of connection for the extensicin across SR?. The extension will include a $12^{\prime \prime}$ valved tee, then the road crossing, then the hyciant assembly and valving on the north side of the proposed street. The hydrant lead must be $18^{\prime}$ or less in length

The water notes need to include that it is the responsibiiity of the contractor to properly dispose of the ohlorinated test water during the fluwhing process.

References to the City Engineer should say Public Works Director. References to the City standards and specifications should say the latest edition.

## Memo

To: Ryan Larsen<br>From: Marc Hayes<br>Subject: SR9 Improvements for Crown Ridge<br>Date: April 6, 1998

1. Shouldn't the offsite roadway section match the onsite section of Crown Ridge? 3" CL B ACP, 4"ATB, 4" CSBC.
2. How is runoff going to be handled at Sta. $11+14.88$ ?
3. The sidewalk on the south side of entrance doesn't connect to anything, there is only continuous sidewalk on the north side.

## CITY OF A INGTON

Public Works
238 N. Olympic, Arlington, WA 98223
$\square$ Water $\square$ Sewer $\square$ Streets $\square$ Development Services Phone (360) 435-3811 FAX (360) 435-7944
EARTH TECH


NE ARE SENDING YOUUnder separate cover via the following items:Shop drawings
$\square$ Copy of letterPrints
$\square$ Plans
$\square$ Samples
Specifications
$\square$ Change order
-

$\qquad$

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:For approval

$\square$ As requested
$\square$ For review and comment
$\square$ FOR BIDS DUE $\qquad$ 19 $\qquad$ $\square$ PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO USApproved as submitted
$\square$ Resubmit $\qquad$ copies for approval

| $\square$ For approval | $\square$ Approved as submitted | $\square$ Resubmit ___ copies for approval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ For your use | $\square$ Approved as noted | $\square$ Submit__ copies for distribution |
| $\square$ As requested | $\square$ Returned for corrections | $\square$ Return ___ corrected prints |
| $\square$ For review and comment | $\square$ | $\square$ PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US |
| $\square$ FOR BIDS DUE | $\square$ |  |

REMARKS $\qquad$

SIGNED:
Contents: $40 \%$ Pre-Consumer $\cdot 10 \%$ Post -Consumer


Snohomish County<br>Planning and Development Services<br>Site Review<br>MS 604

To:
From:
Date:
File

Subject: City of Arlington/Wigan Development Corp. 97110152 GP

After review of the submitted documents for this application, I have the following comments and requirements:

## GENERAL COMMENTS

1. We do not have a detailed grading plan for the entire area within Snohomish County jurisdiction, and the end points of the revised bridge area plan submitted to us do not match the end points of the previous plans.
2. Are tax parcels $233105-1-005-\mathrm{xc} \times \mathrm{xx}$ and $243105-2-013-\mathrm{xxxx}$ going to be landlocked as a result of this project?
3. The easement for 186 th is not a county right-of-way at this location according to a phone consultation with the Department of Public Works. No access to 186th is now proposed. The traffic analysis for the plat assumes that some traffic will go east on 186th.
; :
4. Does the applicant own the County tax parcels on which work is proposed or do they have easements?
5. The markings on the grading plan indicating "limits of construction disturbance" and "permit limits" do not include all the proposed disturbance shown on the plan. Please clarify. We need to know the actual proposed boundaries of disturbance, including grading of side-slopes, ditching, etc., along entire project disturbance corridor within County jurisdiction.
6. Be sure the correct tax parcel numbers are on the grading and forest practices application. It appears 3-006, within the city limits, is included in error. Is any disturbance going to occur within the boundaries of lot 2-004? If so, that number must be included.
7. Will the altered location of the road junction with SR 9 change any of the requirements associated with WASH DOT or the water line etc.? (Note grading vs. platWASHDOT plans)
8. I understand Bill Ryan of Snohomish County Publlc Works still has concerns and has not forwarded the interlocal agreement paperwork to the executive's office.
9. No application for a Hydraulic Project Approval has been submitted to the Department of Fish and Wildilfe (F\&W) for this project according to David Brock of F\&W. Although that permit cannot be issued until SEPA is done, it would be advisable to apply and get input from F\&W before the plans are finallized.

## FOREST PRACTICES APPLICATION

1. The Forest Practices application site plan does not show the entire road corridor proposed on properties within Snohomish County jurisdiction (see \#1 under General Comments).
2. The boundaries of tree harvest are not shown.
3. Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Control locations are not shown,
4. All tax numbers for properties within Snohomish County jurisdiction, disturbed by the project, must be identified. (Is there clearing being done on 243105-2-004-xxxx? Tax parcel 133105-3-006-xxxx may be within the Arlington city limits.)

## WETLAND REVIEW

1. Wetlands and"biffers are not identified on the portion of lot 2-013"south of the proposed road. This must be done. All critical areas, including geologic hazard areas and wetlands/streams within 100 feet of the subject property must be identifiled per Section 32.10.550 (2) SCC.
2. No mitigation is proposed for disturbance within wetland buffers. This must be added to the proposal.
3. On page C 2.2 of the Crown Ridge Estates plans, the road construction boundaries appear to extend to within the wetland buffer in spots. The plan is not sufficiently detailed to adequately identify potential overlap of construction and required buffers. The required detailed plan view of the entire area must include wetlands, buffers, and current construction boundaries.
4. We are still trying to contact John Burkholder of the City of Arlington to establish whether the proposed mitigation within city limits for the bridge impacts in county jurisdiction meets city ordinance requirements.
5. Since wetlands and buffers south of the proposed bridge are not identified, it is impossible to determine whether or not there was actually a potential for onsite mitigation, which would reduce the offosite mitigation allowance. The presence or absence of wetlands on the east side of the proposed road corridor is not addressed at all in the wettand documentation.
6. Discrepancies between plans submitted for review (i.e. plat plans vs. bridge/road plans) include road location and grading details (i.e. note location of proposed junction with SR 9). We do not know which plan is correct. Review cannot be completed until complete and correct plans are submitted. Wetland and buffer reports may need to change after plan clarification.
7. Locations for cross-culverts along the road between the bridge and the city limits are not shown, except for one. The dewatering of the wetland and resuiting reduced storage is a major concern for low-flow season maintenance of coho salmon habitat downstream.
8. No southern border is placed on the mitigation area on plan W2.0 or W3.0, so it is not possible to firmly establish the area of mitigation, or whether the entire required mitigation area is being revegetated.
9. The restoration on W3.1 does not include the entire area shown on the grading/tESC plan as being disturbed. Nor does it address buffer loss.

## DISCLAIMER

The requirements and comments contained in this letter are specific to compliance with adopted County plans, policies, motions, objectives, and land use regulations. This does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any other applicable local, state, and federal policies and regulations.

JUN 111998
CITY OF ARLINGTON

Planning and Development Services
Rebed J. Drowal Countr Executive

City of Arlington
Wigan Development Corp.
3025 112th Avenue NE
Bellevue, WA 98009-9304
RE: Project File No: 97-110152-GP
Tax Account No: 133105-3-006-0001

Gentlemen:
All submittale of information and/or documents listed below must be by appointment with Liz Bums, the grading permit coordinator. Call 388-3311 extension 2470 for an appointment. The markups that are being retumed to you with this letter MUST be returned during your resubmittal appointment. Your information and/or documents will not be accepted without the original mark-ups.

An office review of your recently submitted information and plans has been completed by Snohomish County Planning and Development Services. This review has resulted in a determination that the application requires additional information in order to complete its processing.

Please provide the following additional documents and/or information:

- Three copies of a revised grading plan showing all grading activities that will occur on tax parcels located in Snohomish County.
- Three coples of a revised timbar harvest plan showing all logging activities that will occur on tax parcels located in Snohomish County.
- A copy of the building permit application for the bridge.
- A Revised Mitigation plan that shows proposed mitigation for disturbances within the wetland buffers. An example of this is the bioswale impact.
- An approved and recorded Critical Areas Site Plan, (CASP) for each project tax parcel located in Snohomish County. Sen explanation below.

Snohomish County Code \{Section 32.10.240(6)\} require Native Growth Protection Areas, (NGPA's) be delineated on a CASP form. After plan approval by Planning and Development Services, the CASP must be recorded with the Snohomish County Auditor. Due to recording requirements, the enclosed cover sheet must also be completed. You must fill in the Grantors names (property owners) and the property legal description. Please do not record the Critical Areas Site Plan until after it has been reviewed and approved by the PDS staff.

Additional information is required on vour emeding plan. The following is a list of items that must be added to, or elarifiod on, your grading plan.

- Alignment information for the centerline of 186th St. NE across State Route 9. This information should match the plans provided to Washington Department of Transportation for the improvements to State Route 9.
- Al property lines and dimensions of those tax parcels located in Snohomish County.
- Property line bearings.
- All environmentally sensitive features on all tax parcels located in Snohomish County
- Existing drainage features (i.e. ditches, culverts, catch basins, french drains, flow direction), and proposed method of drainage. Show required cross culverts necessary to maintain wetland hydrology.
- Measures to avoid the point discharge of stom water from the bioswale through the buffer to the wetland.
- Temporary erosion control measures (silt fence/straw bales etc.).
- Boundaries of site disturbance. The plans indicate, "Limits of Construction" and "Permit Limits", however neither of these areas, as shown, are practical limits for the installation of development improvements with heavy construction equipment.
- Show alf kequirements of Jane Erickson's enclosed biologist memorandum.
- A Native Growth Protection Area is required per Snohomish County Code \{Section 32.10.240(6)\}.
- A note on the plans indicating, "All site work mïst comply with Chapter A33 of the Uniform Building Code, Title 17 and Titie 24 of the Snohomish County Code."

Additional information is reguired on vour timber harvest plan. The following is a list of items that must be addod to, or clarifled on, your timber harvest plan.

- All property lines and dimensions of those tax parcels located in Snohomish County.
- Property line bearings.
- All environmentally sensitive features on all tax parcels located in Snohomish County
- Temporary erosion control measures (silt fence/straw bales etc.).
- Boundaries of timber harvest.

Snohomish County Code 23.16.164(1) establishes an expiration deadline of twelve months within which information required to evaluate a complete application must be submitted or the project may be considered abandoned. Consequently, the above required additional information and plan revisions must be resubmitted by June 4, 1999.

Please call Jane Erickson if you have questions about environmental or critical area requirements. If you have other questions, please call me. You can reach us by calling (425) 388-3311, and then our extension. Jane's extension is 2139, mine is 2682.

Sincerely,
John 7 seal
John F. Seal
Grading and Drainage Plans Examiner
cc: $\quad$ File 97-110152-GP
File 97-110152-FP
John Burkholder
Bill Ryan, DPW
Enclosures: Biologist's Memo

July 17, 1998

The Honorable Robert J. Drewel Snohomish County Executive<br>Administration Building<br>3000 Rockefeller Avenue M/S 604<br>Everett, WA 98201-4046

## RE: Letter of Agreement - Police and Emergency Services for N. E. 186th Street / Crown Ridge Boulevard in Unincorporated Snohomish County

Dear Drewel:

In working with representatives of Snohomish County Public Works, Planning and Development Services, and the Prosecutor's Office through Wigan Corporation, the developer of Crown Ridge Estates, we have learned that affected County Departments are essentially through the permit review and approval process for NE 186th Street/Crown Ridge Boulevard improvements. If you are not already aware, these roadway improvements are identified as extensions of the City's corridor system in the City's Comprehensive Plan and have been approved for connection to SR 9 by the Washington State Department of Transportation. The City has required that Wigan dedicate the right-of-way and installed improvements to the City following the City's acceptance and that Wigan act as the City's agent to complete County permit processing.

In that almost all of the County's work has been completed for processing the City's permit applications, the only remaining issue is jurisdictional control of the roadway. Because this right-of-way will surely become annexed in the near future to the City, we will assume police, fire, and emergency response services for the area legally described in the attached Exhibit "A" document. By your acceptance of this letter, we believe that we can avoid wasting precious staff resources and get on with the assumption of jurisdictional control of that small portion of the NE 186th/Crown Ridge Boulevard right-of-way that lies in the County.

For purposes of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, RCW 39.34, this letter shall require that the City of Arlington assume jurisdictional authority over the right-of-way, including but not limited to: police, fire, and emergency medical response, maintenance, operation and repair of the Exhibit "A" right-of-way. This duty shall continue through annexation of the subject property into the City of Arlington at which time this agreement shall expire by operation of law.

The Honorable Robert J. Drewel
Page Two
July 17, 1998

Please call my office to indicate if your signature to this letter is required below or if the County's acknowledgment of this letter is sufficient to allow the City of Arlington to assume jurisdiction over the right-of-way. If this letter will suffice, as we believe it will, please execute the same and return the original to my office.

Sincerely,


BK/JB/lc
c: John W. Burkholder, Arlington Director of Planning \& Community Development Steve Peiffle, Arlington City Attorney
Paul Konrady, Wigan Corporation
Barbara Dykes, Snohomish County Prosecutor's Office
Steve Holt, Director/Ray Allhouse, Snohomish County
Planning and Development Services
Peter Hahn, Director/Loren Sand, Snohomish County
Public Works
Steve Robinson, Arlington Police Chief
Ken Reid, Arlington Public Works Director

Clown Bride
That of Cue: (B
$7-23$ XI $7 / 28-1998$
Maslen From Histty-Cole asked that Publ Wares writ a letter regarding the weser the emergency access as the Construction access. 1 reviewed fie, discussed woman and called
Ramen Pazooki to usefys rest exactly what they were asking toes. At was then \& learned that Esxunturige. had not applied for a temporary yelsmit for the access, and wsoor was stull unsure about apposoving, the access even far emergency only. L again spoke with Marctatand are agreed that B should handle this - B was adersed + contacted Ramen disectly.
final decision: 1 gB would write a letter ouppustimg the user the emerigney races as a temp Consol. aces.
(3) wSobr wound he hit on these sows.

- issue access permit for main
- if $v$ alt- theycireuld issue permit for laces
- Call from nair worker
- 0 permit for using forconst need to apply for Demp permit.

Another aces on 186th - Best to use
do not see why Feed. -
to (liability) prolealely "only of no alxesnatier"
fruatcicy lole
Jetter to the Sale
pequestirg use
emergincy access
instiad of 186 th
at Crawr Ricige
Ramin Pazaki
DOT
Marlix at futcyyble 425-330-2712

## Washington State

 Department of TransportationSid Morrison

Secretary of Transportation

## Northwest Region

15700 Dayton Avenue North
P.O. Box 330310

Seattle, WA 98133-9710
(206) 440-4000

May 14, 1998

Mr. John Burkholder
City of Arlington Director of Planning and
Community Development
238 N. Olympic Ave.
Arlington, WA 98223


SR 9 MP 26.43 vic
CS 3134
Crown Ridge Estates

Dear Mr. Burkholder:
This letter is to inform you the review status of the proposed "Crown Ridge Estates" development. The State has completed the first review of the channelization, drainage and illumination plans. Our first review of the plans indicated that all major design issues were addressed adequately and we don't anticipate any major design issues for this project. W\&H Pacific is in the process of finalizing the plans based on our comments. We will notify you again once the revised plans have been received and reviewed by the State.

Should you have any questions, please contact Donald Hurter (440-4664) or Ramin Pazooki (440-4916) of my Developer Services Section.


Robert A. Josephson, P.E.
Manager of Planning and Local Coordination
rp/crown.doc
cc: Saeed Abtahi, W\&H Pacific

## RECEIVED

MAY 151998
CITY OF ARLINGTON

# Washington State Department of Transportation <br> Secretary of Transportation 

Northwest Region
15700 Dayton Avenue North
P.O. Box 330310

Seattle, WA 98133-9710
(206) 440-4000

November 24, 1997

Mr. John Burkholder
City of Arlington
Director, Planning \& Community
Development Department
238 North Olympic Avenue
Arlington, WA 98223
SR 9 MP 26.43 CS 3134
Crown Ridge Estates (Highview Estates)
Access Request for development
Dear Mr. Burkholder:

This letter is to further clarify our letter of November 13, 1997 on our response on access for this Development.

The State has conceptually approved the break in access control for the easterly leg of Eagle Field Drive and SR 9 intersection. Also, an emergency access only driveway has been preliminarily approved. The design for the emergency driveway must show how this driveway will be controlled either by a locked gate, cable or bollards

It has also been indicated, in documents received from the developer's representative, that the developer might, in the future, request the conversion of this emergency access into a regular access ( 192nd Street NE ) which would be restricted to right-in / right-out movements. We would like to stress that the state, in compliance with provisions of a partially controlled access highway, will not agree to any other form of access except for an emergency access at this location. Further, we reiterate that when a secondary roadway route is constructed in the future around this development, this emergency access will be permanently closed and removed.

If you have any questions feel free to contact Don Hurter at (206) 440-4664 or Ramin Pazooki at (206) 440-4916 of my developer services section.


RAJ.dh
SR9CRON2.DOC
cc: Bill H. Williamson Law Office

November 13, 1997
Mr. John Burkholder
City of Arlington
Director, Planning \& Community
Development Department
238 North Olympic Avenue
Arlington, WA 98223

RECEIVED
MOY 179997
city of arlington
MJ.97-0005-LP

SR 9 MP 26.43 CS 3134
Crown Ridge Estates (Highview Estates)
Access Request for development

Dear Mr. Burkholder:
This letter is in response to Bill Williamson letter of October 17, 1997 requesting assurance that access will be allowed on the easterly leg of Eagle Field Drive and SR 9 and approval of a secondary access from an existing driveway at highway engineer's station 904+20(192nd Street NE) on SR 9.

The State has conceptually approved the break in access control for the easterly leg of Eagle Field Drive and SR 9, since it is consistent with what was discussed when the westerly leg was approved. Also, changing an existing farm approach to an emergency only has been preliminarily approved. However, when a secondary roadway route is constructed in the future, this emergency access will be permanently closed and removed. The developer will have to submit a design for the construction of the fourth leg at Eagle Field Drive and the emergency right in/right out driveway to SR 9 and associated drainage improvements for our review and approval.

Hopefully, this answers your concerns. If you have any questions feel free to contact Don Hurter at (206) 440-4664 or Ramin Pazooki at (206) 440-4916 of my developer services section.


Robert A. Josephson, PE
Manager of Planning \& Local Coordination
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## Washington State Department of Transportation

Sid Morrison

Secretary of Transportation

Northwest Region
15700 Dayton Avenue North
P.O. Box 330310

Seattle, WA 98133-9710
(206) 440-4000

May 14,1998

Mr. John Burkholder
City of Arlington Director of Planning and
Community Development
238 N. Olympic Ave.
Arlington, WA 98223


SR 9 MP 26.43 vic CS 3134 Crown Ridge Estates

Dear Mr. Burkholder:
This letter is to inform you the review status of the proposed "Crown Ridge Estates" development. The State has completed the first review of the channelization, drainage and illumination plans. Our first review of the plans indicated that all major design issues were addressed adequately and we don't anticipate any major design issues for this project. W\&H Pacific is in the process of finalizing the plans based on our comments. We will notify you again once the revised plans have been received and reviewed by the State.

Should you have any questions, please contact Donald Hurter (440-4664) or Ramin Pazooki (440-4916) of my Developer Services Section.


Robert A. Josephson, P.E.
Manager of Planning and Local Coordination
rp/crown.doc
cc: Saeed Abtahi, W\&H Pacific

## RECEIVED

MAY 151998
CITY OF ARLINGTON

## Northwest Region

15700 Dayton Avenue North P.O. Box 330310

Seattle, WA 98133-9710
(206) 440-4000

November 24, 1997

Mr. John Burkholder
City of Arlington
Director, Planning \& Community
Development Department
238 North Olympic Avenue
Arlington, WA 98223
SR 9 MP 26.43 CS 3134
Crown Ridge Estates (Highview Estates)
Access Request for development
Dear Mr. Burkholder:
This letter is to further clarify our letter of November 13, 1997 on our response on access for this Development.

The State has conceptually approved the break in access control for the easterly leg of Eagle Field Drive and SR 9 intersection. Also, an emergency access only driveway has been preliminarily approved. The design for the emergency driveway must show how this driveway will be controlled either by a locked gate, cable or bollards

It has also been indicated, in documents received from the developer's representative, that the developer might, in the future, request the conversion of this emergency access into a regular access ( 192nd Street NE ) which would be restricted to right-in / right-out movements. We would like to stress that the state, in compliance with provisions of a partially controlled access highway, will not agree to any other form of access except for an emergency access at this location. Further, we reiterate that when a secondary roadway route is constructed in the future around this development, this emergency access will be permanently closed and removed.

If you have any questions feel free to contact Don Hurter at (206) 440-4664 or Ramen Pazooki at (206) 440-4916 of my developer services section.


RAJ.dh
SR9CRON2.DOC
cc: Bill H. Williamson Law Office

## Northwest Region

November 13, 1997
Mr. John Burkholder
City of Arlington
Director, Planning \& Community
Development Department
238 North Olympic Avenue
Arlington, WA 98223

# Not 

RECEIVED
MOY 179997

GITY OF ARLINGTON

MJ.97-0005-LP

SR 9 MP 26.43 CS 3134
Crown Ridge Estates (Highview Estates)
Access Request for development

Dear Mr. Burkholder:
This letter is in response to Bill Williamson letter of October 17, 1997 requesting assurance that access will be allowed on the easterly leg of Eagle Field Drive and SR 9 and approval of a secondary access from an existing driveway at highway engineer's station 904+20(192nd Street NE) on SR 9.

The State has conceptually approved the break in access control for the easterly leg of Eagle Field Drive and SR 9 , since it is consistent with what was discussed when the westerly leg was approved. Also, changing an existing farm approach to an emergency only has been preliminarily approved. However, when a secondary roadway route is constructed in the future, this emergency access will be permanently closed and removed. The developer will have to submit a design for the construction of the fourth leg at Eagle Field Drive and the emergency right in/right out driveway to SR 9 and associated drainage improvements for our review and approval.

Hopefully, this answers your concerns. If you have any questions feel free to contact Don Hurter at (206) 440-4664 or Ramin Pazooki at (206) 440-4916 of my developer services section.


Robert A. Josephson, PE
Manager of Planning \& Local Coordination

DH.dh
SR9CROWN.DOC
cc: Bill H. Williamson Law Office

LOG NUMBER: 00-D5747-01
DATE OF ISSUE: July 22. 1998

| PERMITTEE | AUTHORIZED AGENT OR CONTRACTOR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Paul Konrady | Not Applicable |
| 11406 Airport Road |  |
| Everett, WA 98204 |  |
| 425-438-8444 |  |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Construct Bridge

## PROJECT LOCATION: <br> East side of SR 9 approximately 2 miles southeast of Arlington in northern Snohomish County

| $\#$ | WRIA | WATER BODY |  | TRIBUTARY TO |  | 1/4 SEC. | SEC. | TOWNSHIP | RANGE | COUNTY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05.0058 | Prairie Creek | Portage Creek | SE | 14 | 31 North | 05 East | Snohomish |  |  |

NOTE: This Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) is only for the construction of the bridge over Prairie Creek in the proposed Crown Ridge estates development. Separate HPAs will need to be issued by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife for stormwater detention facilities and utility crossings of waters of the state proposed in this development.

## PROVISIONS

1. TIMING LIMITATIONS: The project may begin August 1, 1998 and shall be completed by September 30, 1998.
2. Work shall be accomplished per plans and specifications entitled, $186^{\text {th }}$ St. NE Grading/TESC Plan, dated July 10 , 1998, and submitted to the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, except as modified by this Hydraulic Project Approval. These plans reflect design criteria per Chapter 220-110 WAC. These plans reflect mitigation procedures to significantly reduce or eliminate impacts to fish resources. A copy of these plans shall be available on site during construction.
3. Excavation for and placement of the foundation and superstructure shall be outside the ordinary high water line except for that necessary to construct and install the single, central pier.
4. Excavation for the footings shall be completely separated from the stream by placing the footings landward of the top of the bank except for the single, central pier.
5. Footings and foundation including the single central pier shall be installed in the dry or in isolation from the stream flow by the installation of a bypass flume or culvert, or by pumping the stream flow around the work area.
6. The bridge structure shall be placed in a manner to minimize damage to the streambed and banks.

## DATE OF ISSUE: July 22, 1998

7. The bridge shall be constructed to pass the 100-year peak flow with consideration of debris likely to be encountered.
8. Riprap materials used for structure protection shall be clean, angular rock, which shall be installed to withstand the 100-year peak flow.
9. Structures containing concrete shall be sufficiently cured prior to contact with water to avoid leaching. Fresh concrete shall not be allowed to come into contact with state waters.
10. Where aggregate or earth type material is used for paving or accumulates on the bridge, curbs, or wheel guards shall be installed and maintained to prevent the loss of this material into the stream.
11. Approach material shall be structurally stable and shall be composed of material that if eroded into the water shall not be detrimental to fish life.
12. The bridge stringers shall be placed in a manner to minimize damage to the streambed or banks.
13. Affected streambed and bank areas associated with this project shall be restored to pre-project configuration prior to project completion. Within one year of project completion, the banks shall be revegetated with native or other approved woody species. Vegetative cuttings shall be planted at a maximum interval of three feet (on center) and maintained as necessary for three years to ensure 80 percent survival.
14. If at any time, as a result of project activities, fish are observed in distress, a fish kill occurs, or water quality problems develop (including equipment leaks or spills), operations shall cease and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife at 425-775-1311 and Washington Department of Ecology at 206-649-7000 shall be contacted immediately. Work shall not resume until further approval is given by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.
15. Fish habitat components such as logs, stumps, and/or large boulders are required to mitigate for impacts to fish habitat and fish life as a result of this project. These fish habitat components shall be installed to withstand 100 -year peak flows and shall consist of at least two large logs with the stump attached placed adjacent to the bridge within the low water flow. These logs shall be a conifer species, and at least sixteen inches in diameter at breast height, twenty feet long with a root wad at least six feet in diameter. Various other fish habitat components may be substituted for these logs with approval of the Area Habitat Biologist.
16. Erosion control methods shall be utilized to prevent silt, sediment, pollutants and muddy water from entering streams and wetlands. These may include, but shall not be limited to temporary sediment ponds, straw bales, filter fabric fences, check dams, and mulching of exposed areas.
17. Extreme care shall be taken to ensure that no petroleum products, hydraulic fluid, fresh cement, sediments, sedimentladen water, chemicals, or any other toxic of deleterious materials are allowed to enter or leach into the stream.
18. All bare earth areas shall be protected from erosion and appropriately revegetated prior to final inspection or completion of the project.

RCW 75.20.100 or RCW 75.20.108

SEPA: DNS by Snohomish County final on June 30, 1998.
APPLICATION ACCEPTED: July 20, 1998 ENFORCEMENT OFFICER: Oosterwyk 130 [P2]

David W. Brock (425) 379-2302
for Director Area Habitat Biologist

WDFW

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

This Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) pertains only to the provisions of the Fisheries Code (RCW 75.20). Additional authorization from other public agencies may be necessary for this project.

This HPA shall be available on the job site at all times and all its provisions followed by the permitter and operators) performing the work.

This HPA does not authorize trespass.
The persons) to whom this HPA is issued may be held liable for any loss or damage to fish life or fish habitat which results from failure to comply with the provisions of this HPA.

Failure to comply with the provisions of this Hydraulic Project Approval could result in a civil penalty of up to one hundred dollars per day or a gross misdemeanor charge, possibly punishable by fine and/or imprisonment.

All HPAs issued pursuant to RCW 75.20 .100 or 75.20 .160 are subject to additional restrictions, conditions or revocation if the Department of Fish and Wildlife determines that new biological or physical information indicates the need for such action. The permittee has the right pursuant to Chapter 34.04 RCW to appeal such decisions. All HPAs issued pursuant to RCW 75.20 .103 may be modified by the Department of Fish and Wildlife due to changed conditions after consultation with the permittee: PROVIDED HOWEVER, that such modifications shall be subject to appeal to the Hydraulic Appeals Board established in RCW 75.20 .130 .

## APPEALS - GENERAL INFORMATION

IF YOU WISH TO APPEAL A DENIAL OF OR CONDITIONS PROVIDED IN A HYDRAULIC PROJECT APPROVAL, THERE ARE INFORMAL AND FORMAL APPEAL PROCESSES AVAILABLE.
A. INFORMAL APPEALS (WAC 220-110-340) OF DEPARTMENT ACTIONS TAKEN PURSUANT TO RCW 75.20.100, 75.20.103, 75.20.106, AND 75.20.160:

A person who is aggrieved or adversely affected by the following Department actions may request an informal review of:
(A) The denial or issuance of a HPA, or the conditions or provisions made part of a HPA; or
(B) An order imposing civil penalties.

It is recommended that an aggrieved party contact the Area Habitat Biologist and discuss the concerns. Most problems are resolved at this level, but if not, you may elevate your concerns to his/her supervisor. A request for an INFORMAL REVIEW shall be in WRITING to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, 600 Capitol Way North, Olympia, Washington 98501-1091 and shall be RECEIVED by the Department within 30-days of the denial or issuance of a HPA or receipt of an order imposing civil penalties. The 30-day time requirement may be stayed by the Department if negotiations are occurring between the aggrieved party and the Area Habitat Biologist and/or his/her supervisor. The Habitat Protection Services Division Manager or his/her
designee shall conduct a review and recommend a decision to the Director or its designee. If you are not satisfied with the results of this informal appeal, a formal appeal may be filed.
B. FORMAL APPEALS (WAC 220-110-350) OF DEPARTMENT ACTIONS TAKEN PURSUANT TO RCW 75.20.100 OR 75.20.106:

A person who is aggrieved or adversely affected by the following Department actions may request an formal review of:
(A) The denial or issuance of a HPA, or the conditions or provisions made part of a HPA;
(B) An order imposing civil penalties; or
(C) Any other "agency action" for which an adjudicative proceeding is required under the Administrative Procedure Act, Chapter 34.05 RCW.
A request for a FORMAL APPEAL shall be in WRITING to the Department of Fish and Wildife, 600 Capitol Way North, Olympia, Washington 98501-1091, shall be plainly labeled as "REQUEST FOR FORMAL APPEAL" and shall be RECEIVED DURING OFFICE HOURS by the Department within 30-days of the Department action that is being challenged. The time period for requesting a formal appeal is suspended during consideration of a timely informal appeal. If there has been an informal appeal, the deadline for requesting a formal appeal shall be within 30-days of the date of the Department's written decision in response to the informal appeal.
C. FORMAL APPEALS OF DEPARTMENT ACTIONS TAKEN PURSUANT TO RCW 75.20.103 or 75.20.160:

A person who is aggrieved or adversely affected by the denial or issuance of a HPA, or the conditions or provisions made part of a HPA may request a formal appeal. The request for FORMAL APPEAL shall be in WRITING to the Hydraulic Appeals Board per WAC 259-04 at Environmental Hearings Office, 4224 Sixth Avenue SE, Building Two - Rowe Six, Lacey, Washington 98504; telephone 360/459-6327.
D. FAILURE TO APPEAL WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME PERIODS RESULTS IN FORFEITURE OF ALL APPEAL RIGHTS. IF THERE IS NO TIMELY REQUEST FOR AN APPEAL, THE DEPARTMENT ACTION SHALL BE FINAL AND UNAPPEALABLE.

To: Cristy brubaker
Company: City of Arlington, Public works
Address: 238 North Olympic
City/State: Arlington, WA 98223
Tel/Fax \#s:

Date:
Project Number: 3-0226-0903
Project Name: Crown Ridge Estates

From: Saeed Abtahi
Phone No. (425) 828-2807
Fax No.
(425) 822-5341

Confidentially Notice: This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the unauthorized dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (collect). Thank you.


These are Transmitted:For Your Info/File

X As Requested
$\square$ For Review \& Comment

## Copied To:

Paul Konrady
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Description

stural plans- submitted to county for review and not yet approved
start clearing and grading of the county area by middle of August.
to complment your project file. If you have any major concerns with the concept ated, please let us know before August 15, 1998. Unless Wigan Development or fore August 15, 1998, Wigan will assume that there are no comments and they will planned.

saved Allah:
 estates you requested.





Subject: SR 9 MP 26.43 CS 3134
Crown Ridge Estates
JA 4118
Storm Drainage Report
Review Comments

We have reviewed the onsite storm drainage report and Addendum 1 for the referenced project and have the following comments to be addressed:

1. The creation of a single predeveloped basin "L-M' in not clear since project flows are currently distributed between 6 culverts crossing SR9. There are 2 additional culverts not discussed in the report and plans. (An 18 inch culvert is located near lot 43, and a 48 inch culvert conveys Prairie Creek under SR 9 near lot 66.) Overall impacts appear to direct flows currently distributed to 6 culverts, into 2 . The culvert adjacent to the emergency access road will receive a majority of the developed flows, a downstream impact not accounted for. Please address in report and ensure the design avoids impacts such as additional flows to the northerly cross culvert.
2. Contours show the norther portion of the predeveloped basin "L-M" actually - flowing to wetland " $B$ " in the NGPA, instead of to SR9. The predeveloped basin calculations for release rates to SRO should not include this area.
3. The raised invert of the cross culvert beneath the emergency access road is not acceptable. The surrounding topography in the vicinity of the culvert should nor be altered such that a diversion of flow results. To prevent diversion of flow to areas west of SR9, install this culvert's inlet at the existing ground elevation.
4. The developed peak flows are calculated using a composite CN and a single Tc . This generalization yields a smaller value than allowing the software to add the two hydrograph for pervious/impervious surfaces. Since the impervious Tc is much
 shorter and the cover types are distinct, it is more appropriate to separate the impervious/pervious cover types and use corresponding Tc's in the basin input.
D. Hurter / R. Pazooki

August 19, 1998
Page 2
5. The actual percent impervious and pervious cover in the development should be used to calculate peak flows rather than using the generalized tabular values. The tabular data are intended to be used in estimating runoff from existing offsite residential areas.
6. The portion of the emergency access road downstream of the detention pond bypasses detention but is not accounted for in the design.
7. The post-development basin summary for the 1.88 acre by-pass clear in report? not included in the report. This runoff does not appear to have been included in the developed flowrate calculations for the southern pond.

8. The quarry spal//core rock window between the detention pond cells is not recommended. In other jurisdictions such as King County, these have been shown to plug with sediment and are difficult to maintain. An improved design limits the berm height between the cells to the permanent pool elevation.
9. The WSDOT design standard for culverts is the 25 -year event with a maximum headwater to culvert diameter ratio (HW/D) of 1.25 and no overtopping of the roadway during the 100 -year event. Please include a headwater culvert analysis for all culverts in the right-of-way that will be impacted by flows from the development.
10. The control structure for the north detention pond has an open top which may allow debris to enter it. A baffle surrounding the lower orifice is recommended.
11. To prevent roadside ditch erosion, the rock protection downstream of the south energy dissipator should be extend to the ditch, and at least 5 feet down it. In the north access road ditch, the rock protection should extend to stable ground or the culverts.


## Th

 The culverts need single hay bales is currently obstructing the northerly cross culvert13. The report should be stamped by the project engineer.

Please contact Guy Caley (ext. 4537) or Christine Lava (ext. 4903) if further questions arise.

CL/GC:ge
Attachments:
cc: Permit File
Day File

Christine O. Gregoire
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
Transportation \& Public Construction Division
PO Box 40113 - Olympia WA 98504-0113 • (360) 753-6126

September 16, 1998

## VIA FACSIMITE

Mr. Bill H. Williamson
ROSS WILLIAMSON LOITZ
700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2750
Seattle, WA 98104
RE: Temporary Access Pernit No. AC 98080074 SR 9, 192 ${ }^{44}$ Ave. and NE 186 ${ }^{\text {( }}$ Street

Dear Mr. Williamson:
In response to your letrer of Seprember 15, 1998, I offer the following comments:

1. When Ramin Pazooki and I spoke with you regarding the issuance of a temporary access permit and the use of State right-of-way, we made it very clear that the permit was limited to allowing your client temporary access from SR 9 to the subject property. We specifically pointed out that until a developer agreement was issued, ne staging of equipment or construction of any kind was permitted within the State's right-of-way. There have been no developments since our conversation that would change this position.
2. From the time the permit was issued, Mr. Pazooki has instructed WSDOT's inspectors that the permit allowed access to the property; primarily for the purpose of building a bridge over a creek on the subject property. Afthough Mr. Pazooki maintains that the inspectors are aware that the permit has the limited purpose of permitting access, he will talk with the inspectors once again so there is no confusion.
3. As I mentioned to you recently, WSDOT still has not seceived the hydraulic information needed to complete the package. Until the hydraulic issues are resolved, no developer agreement can be executed. An executed devetoper agreement is necessary before any staging or work can oceur within the Stare's right-of-way.

## A . JRNEY GENERAL OF WASHINK W

Mr. Bill H. Williamson
Seprember 16, 1998
Page 2

I hope this letter clears up any ountranding issues. The sooner your client submits the appropriate information; the sconer WSDOT can process the developer agreernent and the project can move forward.

Very truly yours,


Bryce E. Brown
Assistant Attorney General
(360) 753-6126

## BEB:kls

cc: Ramin Parooki
Mike Gallop

## Ross Williamson Loitz

ATTORNEYS


September 15, 1998
ajll il. williamson
DIRECT LINE: (206) 292-0411
E-MAIL Uhwidiamsomgrwillow.curn

## Transmitted by FAX \& Regular Mail

Bryce Brown, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Washington State Attorney General's Office
Transportation \& Public Construction Division - P.O. Box 40113
Olympia, WA 98504-0113

## Re: Temporary Access Permit No. AC 98080074 <br> Wigan Development, Inc. - Everett, WA

Dear Mr. Brown:

Based upon our earlier discussion conceming granting of the temporary access permit issued by WSDOT (Northwest Region in Seattle), we have understood that the temporary access permit expressly allows access and the right to construct improvements on Crown Ridge Estate property, the right-of-way dedicated to the City of Arlington, and State right-of-way based upon the attached Exhibit A, B, and C plans to the permit.

There is some confusion at the WSDOT inspector level about what portion of the channelization plan elements and whether the state right-of-way areas can or cannot be improved under the temporary permit. As I recall this discussion, I believe that you indicated that the applicant, Wigan and the City, could pursue the improvements on the state's right-of-way, but they were subject to change by the agency depending upon what WSDOT Headquarters accepted or changed.

My clients want to complete grading and filling on their property and the state right-ofway for the access connection to SR 9. They will not be doing any final channelization work (asphalting, striping, lighting, signalization) on their property or on the state right-of-way. Would you be so kind to confirm our understanding that the enclosed permit permits these grading and filling operations and call my office at your earliest opportunity.

Bryce Brown, Esq.
Page 2
September 15, 1998

Sincerely,


Bill H. Williamson
enclosure: Fax copy of Temp. Access Permit cc: Paul Konrady, Wigan Corp.

Bob Josephson, PE, WSDOT NW District Engineer Ramin Pazooki, PE, WSDOT NW Region
91598c.kin Developer Services


September 9, 1999


4소 nohomish County

Public Works
Robert J. Drewel County Executive

Thom Myers, City Administrator
City of Arlington
238 N. Olympic Avenue
Arlington, WA 98223

Re: Interlocal Agreement Concerning
Crown Ridge Estates Access Road
Dear Mr. Myers:
Enclosed for the City's records, I am sending you one executed original of the Interlocal Agreement between Snohomish County and the City of Arlington, concerning the access road to the proposed development of Crown Ridge Estates, through an unincorporated portion of the County between SR 9 and the City limits.

Thank you very much for your and your staff's cooperation in finalizing the agreement and for giving your attention to its processing.

Sincerely,


Johannes W. Kurz
Transportation Specialist
Encl. Interlocal Agreement (1 original)
cc: Loren Sand
Klaus Schilde

After Recording Return To:
Snohomish County Public Works
Program Planning - 7th Floor
Attn: Johannes Kurz
2930 Wetmore Avenue
Everett, Washington 982012-4044

## INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN SNOHOMISH COUNTY AND THE CITY OF ARLINGTON CONCERNING THE CROWN RIDGE ESTATES ACCESS ROAD

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into by and between SNOHOMISH COUNTY hereinafter referred to as the "County" and the CITY OF ARLINGTON, hereinafter referred to as the "City" pursuant to chapter 39.34 RCW, the Interlocal Cooperation Act.

WHEREAS, Crown Ridge Estates is a residential subdivision proposed for development within the City; and

WHEREAS, because of topographical constraints, public road access to Crown Ridge Estates is proposed through the County; and

WHEREAS, on the 6th of April, 1998, the City passed Ordinance 1155 concerning the condemnation of right-of-way for a public access road from State Route SR 9 in the County to the City limits, hereinafter referred to as "Access Road," which is shown in Exhibit A and described in Exhibit B; and

WHEREAS, the owner of the properties through which the right-of-way for the Access Road passes has signed quit claim deeds transferring all interest in the property for Access Road right-of-way to the City, and these deeds have been recorded with the County Auditor's Office under Nos. 9811060697 and 9811060698 on November 6, 1998; and

WHEREAS, the developer of Crown Ridge Estates will construct for the City the Access Road including a bridge across Prairie Creek; and

WHEREAS, all design and construction work will be performed according to standards approved by the city and the county and, with respect to the connection to SR 9, by the State (WSDOT);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises in this interlocal agreement, IT IS MUTUALLY AGREED as follows:

## 1. Scope Of Agreement

This agreement shall establish the mutual responsibilities of the County and the City with respect to the establishment, design, construction, maintenance, and operation of the Access Road in unincorporated Snohomish County.

## 2. Joint Responsibilities

2.1 The Access Road shall be designed according to standards agreed to by the County and the City.
2.2 When construction work on the Access Road is completed, the County and the City will jointly perform the final inspection.

## 3. County Responsibilities

3.1 The County will issue permits which are required to commence construction of the Access Road.
3.2 The County will perform construction and site inspection duties for the establishment of the Access Road. In view of its future road maintenance functions for the Access road, the City will be invited to participate in the inspection activities.

## 4. City Responsibilities

4.1 The City will formally accept the Access Road following the final inspection upon which the Access Road will become a public road owned by the City.
4.2 The City will maintain, operate and repair the Access Road, and provide police, fire protection, and emergency medical services to the area covered by the Access Road right-of-way. The City will send appropriate notifications of this fact to all affected police agencies, emergency services providers, Snopac, and potentially affected citizens.

## 5. Comprehensive Plan Implications

The Access Road is located outside of the City's Urban Growth Area Boundary in an area of the County designated on the County's GMA Comprehensive Plan as "rural residential" with a minimum lot size requirement of five acres. The area between the Access Road and SR 9 has been designated as Native Growth Protection Area and is recorded as such. This designation limits the potential for any kind of development of the area so designated.

The area east of the Access Road could be developed for rural residential uses at a density compatible with the County's Comprehensive Plan.

The existence of the Access Road and the potential for the easterly extension of N.E. 186th Street from its intersection with Crown Ridge Boulevard shall not provide the sole argument for changing the present rural residential designation to an urban designation.

## 6. Hold Harmless/Indemnification

Each party agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the other party, its employees, agents, and appointed and elected officials from any and all claims, demands, damages, suits, penalties, judgments, losses or costs which may be made against them to the extent that such claim is caused by each party's own negligence.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the preceding paragraph, the City shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the County from any and all claims, demands, damages, actions, penalties, judgments, losses or costs arising from maintenance, reconstruction or use of the Access Road and its right-of-way after it has been accepted by the City pursuant to Paragraph 4.1. The City shall have a continuing duty of indemnification hereunder which shall remain in effect notwithstanding the termination of this agreement.

## 7. Commencement

This agreement shall be effective upon execution by both parties.

## 8. Duration and Termination

This agreement shall remain in effect until the area where the Access Road is located is annexed into the City. Alternatively, this agreement can be terminated at any time by the written consent of both the County and the City.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have signed this agreement effective on the date indicated below.


Dated this day of January, 1999

WITNESSED:
$\frac{\text { Hethy Beteruox }}{\text { City Clerk }}$
F(ethys Beturuox


APPROVED AS TO FORM ONLY:


WITNESSED:
$\frac{\text { Darbara Liforat. }}{\text { County Council Clerk, Asst. }}$

## Developer / Local Agency Agreement

## Construction by Developer <br> At Developer Expense

Agreement Number
UC 3491

| State Route No. |
| :---: |
| 9 |


| Control Section No. |
| :---: |
| 3134 | | Region |
| ---: |
| Northwest |

This AGREEMENT, made and entered into this
STATE OF WASHINGTON, Department of Transportation, acting by and the "STATE", the above named organization, hereinafter called the "DEVELOPER" and the above named City or County, hereinafter called the "LOCAL AGENCY".

WHEREAS, the DEVELOPER wishes to construct an intersection and/or related improvements within the STATE's rights-of-way, and

subli iepieselitalive(o).
3. Any change of work from that shown on Exhibit "B" must be approved by the STATE prior to beginning such work. Plan revisions may be required by the STATE if design standards change between the time of the AGREEMENT approval and the beginning of construction.
4. Upon receipt of this AGREEMENT by the DEVELOPER the STATE may request a construction schedule showing critical dates and activities that will lead to the timely completion of the work required under this AGREEMENT.

Failure by the DEVELOPER to provide the construction schedule within 30 days may cause cancellation of the AGREEMENT. Cancellation of this agreement will not lessen the DEVELOPER'S responsibility to reimburse the STATE for those costs agreed to by item 13.
5. Prior to beginning of construction, a preconstruction conference shall be held with the STATE, LOCAL AGENCY, DEVELOPER, and the DEVELOPER's contractor.

Developer and Áddrass
Wigan Development
11406 Airport Road
Everett, WA 98204
Local Ágency and Address
City of Arlington
238 N: Olympic Avenue
Arlington, WA 98223
Section/Location
NE 186th St. @ MP 26.96 and 192nd Ave. NE @ MP 27.83
Description of Work

1. Construction of the 4th leg of an existing T-intersection for a new City street called NE 186th St. @ MP 26.96 .
2. Conversion of an existing type ' $B$ ' road approach to an Emergency Access Connection @ MP 27.83(192nd Ave. NE) day of Jaunary,$\frac{1999}{}$, between the rest LOPER now wish to define responsibility for construction and d Title 47.24 RCW it is mutually agreed between the parties hereto

Be signed by a surety that is registered with . Vashington State Insurance Commissioner and appears on the current authorized list published by the Office of the Insurance Commissioner.
Be conditioned upon faithful performance of the AGREEMENT.
Guarantee that the surety shall indemnify and defend the STATE against any loss resulting from the DEVELOPER's failure to faithfully perform all the terms under this AGREEMENT
Guarantee that the DEVELOPER or the contractor of the DEVELOPER shall pay all laborers, mechanics, subcontractors, and materialmen, or any person who provides supplies or provisions for carrying out the work.
The surety bond shall remain in full force and effect until released in writing by the STATE.
The STATE will recover from the DEVELOPER and its sureties such damages as the STATE may sustain by reason of the DEVELOPER's failure to comply with the provisions of this AGREEMENT.
12. The DEVELOPER shall obtain and keep in force for the duration of the work under this AGREEMENT, public liability and property damage insurance with companies or through sources approved by the State Insurance Commissioner pursuant to Title 48 RCW. The STATE and LOCAL AGENCY shall be specifically named as an insured in a policy with the same company which insures the DEVELOPER or by an endorsement to an existing policy. The amount of coverage shall be not less than a single limit of $\$ 1,000,000$ for bodily injury, including death and property damage per occurrence. The DEVELOPER shall furnish the STATE proof of insurance prior to undertaking any work covered by this AGREEMENT.
13. The DEVELOPER shall reimburse the STATE for all actual direct and related indirect costs necessitated by this AGREEMENT. Such costs include, but are not limited to, agreement preparation, plan review, and construction inspection.
The DEVELOPER agrees to make payment for the work to be done by the STATE within thity (30) days from receipt of billing from the STATE.
Payment not made within thirty (30) days after receipt of billings shall bear interest at the rate of one percent per month or fraction thereof until paid pursuant to RCW 43.17.240.
14. The STATE shall have ownership and control of the completed facility within the STATE right-of-way and related traffic signal induction loops outside the STATE's right-of-way, all subject to final acceptance by the STATE with the exception that the DEVELOPER, his assigns, and successors, shall be responsible for the construction
and maintenance of th. jate connections and appurtenances between the shoulder line of the highway and the right-of-way line inclusive of surfacing and drainage, when applicable. Future construction or maintenance within the areas of responsibility by the DEVELOPER, his assigns, and successors which will affect the traffic signal induction loops, and related appurtenances shall require STATE review and approval. The LOCAL AGENCY shall be responsible for continued ownership and maintenance of the completed facility outside of STATE right-of-way within right-of-way that the LOCAL AGENCY has interest.
15. The LOCAL AGENCY, if applicable, hereby grants and conveys to the STATE the right of entry upon all land which the LOCAL AGENCY has interest, within or adjacent to the right-of-way of the highway, for the purpose of maintaining and if necessary, reconstructing said traffic signal induction loops, and related appurtenances.
16. Any breach of the terms and conditions of this AGREEMENT, or failure on the part of the DEVELOPER to proceed with due diligence and in good faith in the construction and maintenance work provided for herein, shall subject this AGREEMENT to be canceled and, at the option of the STATE, may require the DEVELOPER to remove all or part of the facilities constructed hereunder at the DEVELOPER's sole expense.
17. The DEVELOPER shall indemnify and hold the STATE and LOCAL AGENCY, and their agents, employees and/or officers harmless from and shall process and defend at its own expense any and all claims, demands, suits at law or equity, actions, penalties, losses, damages, or costs, of whatsoever kind or nature, brought against the STATE and/or LOCAL AGENCY and/or their agents, employees and officers arising out of, in connection with, or incident to the execution of this AGREEMENT and/or the DEVELOPER's performance or failure to perform any aspect of this AGREEMENT. Provided, however, that if such claims are caused by or result from the concurrent negligence of (a) the DEVELOPER and (b) the STATE and/or LOCAL AGENCY, and/or their agents, employees and/or officers, this indemnity provision shall be valid and enforceable only to the extent of the negligence of the DEVELOPER, and provided further, that nothing herein shall require the DEVELOPER to hold harmless or defend the STATE and/or LOCAL AGENCY, and/or their agents, employees and/or officers from any claims arising from the sole negligence of the STATE and/or LOCAL AGENCY, and/or their agents, employees, and/or officers.
18. In the event that any party deems it necessary to institute legal action or proceedings to enforce any right or obligation under this AGREEMENT, the parties hereto agree that any such action or proceedings shall be brought in a court of competent jurisdiction situated in Thurston County, Washington.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the patties' hereto have executed this AGREEMENT as of the day and year first above written.


DOT Form 224-063EF
Revised 6/95

## STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION




EXHIBIT "A"
AGREEMENT NO. UC 3491
SR 9 MP 26.96 CS 3134
SHEET 1 OF 2

coath moner 1 pNox m 100 met


NOTE:
Type 8 appraach is an off and an
approneh in a lagal manner, not to
ercead $20^{\circ}$ in width. for we necearery
to the mermal opernation of furm.
Access to be prohibited
Ahown thes mencumen

TYPE C APPROACH IS AN OFF AND ON APPROACH
FN LEGAL MANNER, FOR SPECIAL PURPOSE AND
WIDTH TO BE AGREED UPON. IT MAY BE SPECIFIED
AT A PONT SATISFACTORY TO THE STATE AT OR
BETWEEN DESIGNATED HIGHWAY STATIONS.

* EMERGENCY ACCESS OMLY

THIS APPROACH, NOT TO EXCEED 20 FEET IN WIDTH, WILL BE CLOSED ONCE AN ALTERNATIVE SECONDARY ACCESS IS PROVIDED TO THIS PROPERTY

NO. 9 THIS APPROACH SHIALL BE GATED AND LOCKED WHEN NOT IN USE

| ACCESS APPROACH SCHEDULE |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| USER | STATKON ON ROADWAY | TYPE |
| WNER | $903.70 R T$. | $C-9-$. |

## EXHIBIT "A"

## AGREEMENT NO. UC 3491 <br> SR 9 MP 26.96 <br> CS 3134 <br> SHEET 2 OF 2





## SPECIAL PROVISIONS

1. The access connection at MP 26.96 ( $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue NE) is for "Emergency Use" only and must be closed off at all times to general public and staff. Furthermore, if and when an alternative emergency access is provided to a City or County street, this emergency access shall be removed and closed off permanently.
2. The Utility/Grantee shall bear responsibility for maintenance of the enclosed drainage system up to the catch basin within the Stat's Right of Way.
3. The Utility is responsible for compliance with all federal, state, and local laws pertaining to the discharge received by the State under permit or franchise.

## EXHIBIT "B"

AGREEMENT NO. UC 3388
SR 9 MP 26.96 CS 3134
SHEET 2 OF 2

## CITY OF AP ${ }^{\text { }}$ INGTON

## Public L. urks

238 N. Olympic, Arlington, WA 98223
$\square$ Water $\square$ Sewer $\square$ Streets $\square$ Administration Phone (360) 435-3811 FAX (360) 435-7944


WE ARE SENDING YOU
$\square$ Attached
$\square$ Under separate cover via $\qquad$ the following items:
$\square$ Shop drawings
$\square$ Prints
Plans
$\square$ Samples
$\square$ Specifications
Copy of letter
$\square$ Change order
$\square$ $\qquad$

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:

| $\square$ For approval | $\square$ Approved as submitted | $\square$ Resubmit ___ copies for approval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ For your use | $\square$ Approved as noted | $\square$ Submit ___ copies for distribution |
| $\square$ As requested | $\square$ Returned for corrections | $\square$ Return ___ corrected prints |
| $\square$ For review and comment | $\square$ | $\square$ PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US |

REMARKS $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


# Wigan Development Corporation <br> 11406 Airport Road <br> Everett, Washington 98204 

January 22, 1999
Mr. Ken Reid
Public Works Director
City of Arlington
816 N. West Ave.
Arlington, WA 98223


RE: Crown Ridge Estates
Dear Ken:
Attached are the permit submittal set of design documents associated with the proposed covered bridge over Prairie Creek immediately east of SR9 at the entrance to our Crown Ridge Estates project. These plans have been submitted by Wigan Development to Snohomish County as a part of a building permit application.

As with all Snohomish County permits involving the $186^{\text {th }}$ Street extension into our project, Wigan Development is acting as an agent for the City of Arlington because the City owns the property (road right-of-way). We hereby request that the City send a letter to the County confirming their position in this action. The letter should include comments on the covered bridge, comments on the City's involvement, and any other concerns or positions of the City.

I am available to assist or answer any questions.
Sincerely,
WIGAN DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION


Attachments


Robert J. Drewel County Executive

## Re: Interlocal Agreement Concerning Crown Ridge Estates Access Road

Dear Mr. Myers:
Enclosed are two originals of the Interlocal Agreement between Snohomish County and the City of Arlington concerning the access road to the proposed development of Crown Ridge Estates through an unincorporated portion of the County between SR 9 and the City limits. A draft of the agreement was sent to the City in January 1999, and two originals of the final version, included the changes requested by the City, were mailed to the City on April 15, 1999. Since city staff was not able to locate the agreements, we are sending you the enclosed originals for processing by the City. We would appreciate it if you could present this document to the appropriate authorities of the City and get the document executed by the City.

Since we need at the end of this process one original each for the City and the County, we have enclosed two originals. Once the two originals have been processed by the City and returned to me, we will submit them to the County Council with a request for action. As soon as the County has executed the agreement, we will get it recorded and will return one of the originals to the City. Thank you very much for your and your staff's cooperation in finalizing the agreement and for giving your attention to its processing.

Sincerely,


Transportation Specialist
Encl. Interlocal Agreement (2 copies)
cc: Loren Sand


# Attorney-Client Privileged Communication Not for Public Disclosure 

## MEMORANDUM

Date: July 6, 1999
From: $\quad$ Steve Peiffle, City Attorney
To: Cristy Brubaker
Subject: Crown Ridge Interlocal

I approve of the interlocal agreement; it is consistent with my discussions with the deputy prosecuting attorney and contains my suggested revisions.


ARLINGTON PUBLIC WORKS FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

PUBLIC WORKS OFFICE • 816 N WEST AVENUE
MAILING ADDRESS • 238 N OLYMPIC ARLINGTON, WA 98223
PHONE: (360) 435-3811 FAX: (360) 435-7944
DATE: $7-6-99$
TO: $\qquad$
FIRM: $\qquad$
FAX NUMBER: ( ) $\qquad$
FROM: $\qquad$ $C B$
SUBJECT: $\qquad$ Snteslocal with County on Crown Ridge

NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: $\qquad$

IF YOU EXPERIENCE PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS FAX, OR IF YOU DON'T RECEIVE THE NUMBER OF PAGES INDICATED, PLEASE CALL OUR OFFICE AT (360)435-3811

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: $\qquad$
Please review for the nayors signature
Hope to have on Agenda for X/\$" $1 / 19$

Faxed by: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ Time: $\qquad$


## CITY OF ARLINGTON PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



## TO: $\quad$ Mayor Kraski and Arlington City Council

FROM: Ken B. Reid, Public Works Director


Date: July 12, 1999

## Subject: Interlocal Agreement with Snohomish County regarding Crown Ridge Access on SR9

Request:
Approval of the interlocal and authorization for the Mayor to Sign the Agreement.

Attachments:

- Interlocal Agreement


## Background:

In April of 1998 the City passed ordinance 1155 concerning the condemnation of right of way for a public access road from State Route SR9 in the County to the City limits. The City now owns this right of way and the developer of Crown Ridge Estates has constructed the necessary public works improvements to provide access to the Crown Ridge Estates development. This interlocal identifies the responsibilities of both Snohomish County and the City of Arlington regarding this access and related improvements.

Recommended Action:
Approval of the interlocal and authorization for the Mayor to Sign.
Alternatives:

1) Deny the Request
2) Remand to staff for additional information
3) Table pending further discussion

# CITY OF ARLINGTON PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 

MEMO

## TO: Mayor Kraski and Arlington City Council

FROM: Ken B. Reid, Public Works Director
Date: July 12, 1999
Subject: Interlocal Agreement with Snohomish County regarding Crown Ridge Access on SR9

Request:
Approval of the interlocal and authorization for the Mayor to Sign the Agreement.

Attachments:

- Interlocal Agreement


## Background:

In April of 1998 the City passed ordinance 1155 concerning the condemnation of right of way for a public access road from State Route SR9 in the County to the City limits. The City now owns this right of way and the developer of Crown Ridge Estates has constructed the necessary public works improvements to provide access to the Crown Ridge Estates development. This interlocal identifies the responsibilities of both Snohomish County and the City of Arlington regarding this access and related improvements.

Recommended Action:
Approval of the interlocal and authorization for the Mayor to Sign.
Alternatives:

1) Deny the Reques $\dagger$
2) Remand to staff for additional information
3) Table pending further discussion


FROM

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
2 anginal
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Washington State Department of Transportation

## Sid Morrison

Secretary of Transportation

## Northwest Region

15700 Dayton Avenue North
P.O. Box 330310

Seattle, WA 98133-9710
(206) 440-4000

September 22, 1999
Mr. Paul Konrady
Development Manager -Wigan Develonment Corn
114C
Ever
$9 / 27 / 99$


Dear
On S drain Wash Bake ı cons cons

As of the pr 001 w down drains action WED(

う 3134
;. ency Agreement Number:
: Number: JA-4118 VAL INSPECTIONS of AGE IMPROVEMENTS. .EASE.
the required roadway and n Properties Inc. and the by Mike Gallop, Mount Our inspection found the d. Therefore, WSDOT now
portation agrees to reduce in (Number 11869079001 Jciation, from \$140,000.00 ossible to assure that the his is to insure that proper ms arise. Be advised that
 to reduce the amount of your Surety Bond.

If you have any questions or need assistance, please contact Mike Gallop (WSDOT Developer Construction Representative) at (206)440-4436 or (206)940-2736-(mobile).

Sincerely,


Dean P. Holman Assistant Northwest Region Utilities Engineer

## DPH/mrg

cc File: 3491PRBR
R. Pazooki: WSDOT Developer Services Reviewer for Snohomish Area (MS-210.

Wayne Starck: WSDOT Area 2 Assistant Mice. Superintendent (MS-42).

# Planning and Development Services 

Ms. Christy Brubaker
City of Arlington
238 N. Olympic
Arlington, WA 98223

Dear Ms. Brubaker:
This letter is in response to our phone conversation regarding the Crownridge Estates bridge off of Highway 9.

The bridge deck and railing were completed and approved under permit \# 97101512 BR. City of Arlington personnel were on site at the time of my final inspection.

Since the completion and final of permit \# 97-110152 BR, a second separate permit (99-100838 BR) was issued for bridge deck cover. This construction is on- going at this time.

If I may be of any further assistance to you regarding the above items, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,


Tracy Justice
Commercial Building Inspector
TJ:jls
cc: Tim Nordtvedt, Commercial Inspection Review

CITY OF AR ${ }^{\text {TNGTON }}$ Public Wu ks
238 N. Olympic, Arlington, WA 98223



Shop drawingsPrints Under separate cover via $\qquad$ the following items:
Copy of letterChange orderPlansSamplesSpecifications

| COPIES | DATE | NO. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:For approvalApproved as submittedApproved as notedReturned for corrections
$\qquad$For review and commentFOR BIDS DUE $\qquad$ 19 $\qquad$ PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US

REMARKS $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
COPY TO
SIGNED: $\qquad$


[^0]:    Section 5. Any acts consistent with the authority and prior to the effective date of this ordinance are ratified and confirmed.

[^1]:    DH.dh
    SR9CROWNDOC
    cc: Bill H. Williamson Law Office

